

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/981,461	10/17/2001	Douglas A. Johnson	00,284	5905
75	90 07/16/2003			
Harley R. Ball Esq., Sprint Law Department 8140 Ward Parkway, MOKCMP0506			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, QUOC DUC	
Kansas City, MO 64114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2643	
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/981,461	JOHNSON, DOUGLAS A.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, ma within the statutory minimum o rill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becon	by a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 C	October 2001 .					
2a) <u></u>		s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
-	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.						
_	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 October 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment							
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> .		ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Tra	ademark Office						

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-4, 7, 9-10, 12-13, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nolting et al (6,385,301).

Consider claim 1, Nolting et al teach a process for analyzing telecommunication network traffic (col. 4 lines 59-65) comprising the steps of: (a) accessing a call processing platform (col. 5 lines 4-6); (b) copying a call detail record for each call processed by said call processing platform to a call detail database (col. 5 lines 4-6, lines 47 – col. 6 line 2); (c) periodically sorting the call detail records by dialed number (col. 29 lines 3-29); (d) extracting a selected set of call parameters from each call detail record (col. 5 lines 28-34; col. 6 lines 35-36); (e) aggregating said selected set of call parameters for each dialed number for calls which occurred within a selected interval (col. 5 lines 4-14, lines 28-40; col. 7 lines 49-63; col. 25 lines 11-35); (f) storing the aggregated sets of call parameters within call parameter tables (col. 16 lines 43-58; col. 22 lines 38-46); and (g) analyzing said call parameter tables by dialed number to detect variations over time of said call parameters (col. 8 lines 6-41).

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claim 3, Nolting et al suggested a process wherein said extracting step includes the step of: (a) extracting an hour of day during which each call to a dialed number occurred (col. 19 lines 18-36).

Consider claim 4, Nolting et al suggested a process wherein said extracting step includes the step of: (a) extracting a date on which each call to a dialed number occurred (col. 19 lines 18-36; col. 20 lines 27-36).

Consider claim 7, Nolting et al teach a process wherein said analyzing step includes the step of: (a) graphically plotting variations in a selected call parameter of a selected dialed number over time to detect said variations in said call parameter (col. 18 lines 1-7; col. 22 lines 65-67).

Conisder claim 9, Nolting et al teach a process including the steps of: (a) accessing a plurality of call processing platforms (col. 5 lines 4-6; col. 13 lines 23-51); (b) copying a call detail record of each call processed by each platform to said call detail database (col. 5 lines 4-6, lines 47 – col. 6 line 2; col. 13 lines 53-67); (c) sorting said call detail records by call processing platform (col. 29 lines 3-29); (d) aggregating said call parameters by dialed number and by call processing platform (col. 24 line 41 – col. 25 line 35); and (e) analyzing said call parameters by dialed number and by call processing platform (col. 25 lines 11-57).

Consider claim 10, Nolting et al teach a process for analyzing telecommunication network traffic (col. 4 lines 59-65) comprising the steps of: (a) accessing a plurality of call processing platforms (col. 5 lines 4-6; col. 13 lines 23-51); (b) copying a call detail record for each call processed by each call processing platform to a call detail database (col. 5 lines 4-6, lines 47 – col. 6 line 2; col. 13 lines 53-67); (c) periodically sorting the call detail records by

Art Unit: 2643

dialed number (col. 29 lines 3-29); (d) extracting a selected set of call parameters from each call detail record (col. 5 lines 28-34; col. 6 lines 35-36); (e) aggregating said selected set of call parameters for each dialed number for calls which occurred within each hour of each day (col. 7 lines 49-63; col. 19 lines 18-37; col. 25 lines 11-35); (f) storing the aggregated sets of call parameters within call parameter tables (col. 16 lines 43-58; col. 22 lines 38-46); and (g) analyzing said call parameter tables by dialed number to detect variations over time of said call parameters (col. 8 lines 6-41).

Consider claim 12, Nolting et al suggested a process wherein said extracting step includes the step of: (a) extracting an hour of day during which each call to a dialed number occurred (col. 19 lines 18-36).

Consider claim 13, Nolting et al suggested a process wherein said extracting step includes the step of: (a) extracting a date on which each call to a dialed number occurred (col. 19 lines 18-36; col. 20 lines 27-36).

Consider claim 16, Nolting et al teach a process wherein said analyzing step includes the step of: (a) graphically plotting variations in a selected call parameter of a selected dialed number over time to detect said variations in said call parameter (col. 18 lines 1-7).

Consider claim 18, Nolting et al teach a process including the steps of: (a) sorting said call detail records by call processing platform (col. 29 lines 3-29); (b) aggregating said call parameters by dialed number and by call processing platform (col. 24 line 41 – col. 25 line 35); and (c) analyzing said call parameters by dialed number and by call processing platform (col. 25 lines 11-57).

Application/Control Number: 09/981,461 Page 5

Art Unit: 2643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 5-6, 8, 11, 14-15, 17 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nolting et al (6,385,301) in view of Elliott et al (5,768,352).

Consider claim 2, Nolting et al suggested the process of binning calls data by hour of day, date, and call durations, etc. Nolting et al did not exclusively or clearly suggest a process wherein said extracting step includes the step of: (a) extracting a duration of each call to each dialed number. However, Elliott et al teach a system and method for generating detail statistical data summary for a pre-selected telephone number (i.e., called number) from call detail records wherein statistical data include call durations, total calls attempted and total calls completed (col. 2 lines 5-13; col. 3 lines 44-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teaching of Elliott et al into view of Nolting et al in order to obtain a better view of communication traffic to a particular telephone number thereby allow a more accurate network predictions and configurations.

Consider claim 5, Nolting et al suggested the process of binning calls data by hour of day, date, and call durations, etc. Nolting et al did not exclusively or clearly suggest a process wherein said aggregating step includes the step of: (a) summing the total duration of all calls made to each dialed number during each hour of each day. However, Elliott et al teach a system and method for generating detail statistical data summary for a pre-selected telephone number

Art Unit: 2643

(i.e., called number) from call detail records wherein statistical data include call durations, total calls attempted and total calls completed (col. 2 lines 5-13; col. 3 lines 44-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teaching of Elliott et al into view of Nolting et al in order to obtain a better view of communication traffic to a particular telephone number thereby allow a more accurate network predictions and configurations.

Consider claim 6, Nolting et al suggested the process of binning calls data by hour of day, date, and call durations, etc. Nolting et al did not exclusively or clearly suggest a process wherein said aggregating step includes the step of: (a) summing the total number of calls made to each dialed number during each hour of each day. However, Elliott et al teach a system and method for generating detail statistical data summary for a pre-selected telephone number (i.e., called number) from call detail records wherein statistical data include call durations, total calls attempted and total calls completed (col. 2 lines 5-13; col. 3 lines 44-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teaching of Elliott et al into view of Nolting et al in order to obtain a better view of communication traffic to a particular telephone number thereby allow a more accurate network predictions and configurations.

Consider claim 8, Nolting et al suggested the process of binning calls data by hour of day, date, and call durations, etc. Nolting et al did not exclusively or clearly suggest a process wherein said analyzing step includes the step of: (a) detecting a maximum aggregated duration of calls to a dialed number within an hour for a selected day. However, Elliott et al teach a system and method for generating detail statistical data summary for a pre-selected telephone number

Art Unit: 2643

(i.e., called number) from call detail records wherein statistical data include call durations, total calls attempted and total calls completed (col. 2 lines 5-13; col. 3 lines 44-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teaching of Elliott et al into view of Nolting et al in order to obtain a better view of communication traffic to a particular telephone number thereby allow a more accurate network predictions and configurations.

Consider claim 11, Nolting et al suggested the process of binning calls data by hour of day, date, and call durations, etc. Nolting et al did not exclusively or clearly suggest a process wherein said extracting step includes the step of: (a) extracting a duration of each call to each dialed number. However, Elliott et al teach a system and method for generating detail statistical data summary for a pre-selected telephone number (i.e., called number) from call detail records wherein statistical data include call durations, total calls attempted and total calls completed (col. 2 lines 5-13; col. 3 lines 44-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teaching of Elliott et al into view of Nolting et al in order to obtain a better view of communication traffic to a particular telephone number thereby allow a more accurate network predictions and configurations.

Consider claim 14, Nolting et al suggested the process of binning calls data by hour of day, date, and call durations, etc. Nolting et al did not exclusively or clearly suggest a process wherein said aggregating step includes the step of: (a) summing the total duration of all calls made to each dialed number during each hour of each day. However, Elliott et al teach a system and method for generating detail statistical data summary for a pre-selected telephone number (i.e., called number) from call detail records wherein statistical data include call durations, total

Art Unit: 2643

calls attempted and total calls completed (col. 2 lines 5-13; col. 3 lines 44-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teaching of Elliott et al into view of Nolting et al in order to obtain a better view of communication traffic to a particular telephone number thereby allow a more accurate network predictions and configurations.

Consider claim 15, Nolting et al suggested the process of binning calls data by hour of day, date, and call durations, etc. Nolting et al did not exclusively or clearly suggest a process wherein said aggregating step includes the step of: (a) summing the total number of calls made to each dialed number during each hour of each day. However, Elliott et al teach a system and method for generating detail statistical data summary for a pre-selected telephone number (i.e., called number) from call detail records wherein statistical data include call durations, total calls attempted and total calls completed (col. 2 lines 5-13; col. 3 lines 44-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teaching of Elliott et al into view of Nolting et al in order to obtain a better view of communication traffic to a particular telephone number thereby allow a more accurate network predictions and configurations.

Consider claim 17, Nolting et al suggested the process of binning calls data by hour of day, date, and call durations, etc. Nolting et al did not exclusively or clearly suggest a process wherein said analyzing step includes the step of: (a) detecting a maximum aggregated duration of calls to a dialed number within an hour for a selected day. However, Elliott et al teach a system and method for generating detail statistical data summary for a pre-selected telephone number (i.e., called number) from call detail records wherein statistical data include call durations, total

Art Unit: 2643

calls attempted and total calls completed (col. 2 lines 5-13; col. 3 lines 44-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teaching of Elliott et al into view of Nolting et al in order to obtain a better view of communication traffic to a particular telephone number thereby allow a more accurate network predictions and configurations.

Consider claim 19, Nolting et al teach a process for analyzing telecommunication network traffic comprising the steps of: (a) accessing a plurality of call processing platforms (col. 5 lines 4-6; col. 13 lines 23-51); (b) copying a call detail record for each call processed by each call processing platform to a call detail database (col. 5 lines 4-6, lines 47 – col. 6 line 2; col. 13 lines 53-67); (c) periodically sorting the call detail records by dialed number (col. 29 lines 3-29); (d) extracting a selected set of call parameters from each call detail record including an hour of day during which each call to a dialed number occurred, and a date on which each call to a dialed number occurred (col. 5 lines 28-34; col. 6 lines 35-36; col. 19 lines 18-36; col. 20 lines 27-36); (e) aggregating said selected set of call parameters for each dialed number for calls which occurred within each hour of each day (col. 7 lines 49-63; col. 19 lines 18-37; col. 25 lines 11-35); (f) storing the aggregated sets of call parameters within call parameter tables (col. 16 lines 43-58; col. 22 lines 38-46); and (g) analyzing said call parameter tables by dialed number to detect variations over time of said call parameters (col. 8 lines 6-41) including: graphically plotting variations in a selected call parameter of a selected dialed number over time to detect said variations in said call parameter (col. 18 lines 1-7; col. 22 lines 65-67).

Nolting et al suggested the process of binning calls data by hour of day, date, and call durations, etc. Nolting et al did not exclusively or clearly suggest a process wherein said

Page 10

Art Unit: 2643

extracting a selected set of call parameters from each call detail record including a duration of each call to each dialed number; wherein aggregating including: (1) summing the total duration of all calls made to each dialed number during each hour of each day, and (2) summing the total number of calls made to each dialed number during each hour of each day; and wherein analyzing including: detecting a maximum aggregated duration of calls to a dialed number within an hour for a selected day. However, Elliott et al teach a system and method for generating detail statistical data summary for a pre-selected telephone number (i.e., called number) from call detail records wherein statistical data include call durations, total calls attempted and total calls completed (col. 2 lines 5-13; col. 3 lines 44-62).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teaching of Elliott et al into view of Nolting et al in order to obtain a better view of communication traffic to a particular telephone number thereby allow a more accurate network predictions and configurations.

Consider claim 20, Nolting et al teach a process further including the steps of: (a) sorting said call detail records by call processing platform (col. 29 lines 3-29); (b) aggregating said call parameters by dialed number and by call processing platform (col. 24 line 41 – col. 25 line 35); and (c) analyzing said call parameters by dialed number and by call processing platform (col. 25 lines 11-57).

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 2643

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive

Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Quoc Tran** whose telephone number is **(703) 306-5643**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Curtis Kuntz**, can be reached on (703) 305-4708.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600** whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Quoc D. Tran

Patent Examiner AU 2643

July 9, 2003